

REMARKS

The issues outstanding the Office Action mailed February 23, 2007, are the objection to the specification and claims, the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112, and the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102 and § 103. Reconsideration of these issues, in view of the following discussion, is respectfully requested.

Objections to Specification

The examiner is thanked for pointing out the typographical errors in the Specifications. Appropriate correction has been made. It is noted that at page 12, line 10 in the Specification, is *not* a typographical error, but, in fact, grammatically correct. However, the sentence has been clarified.

Objections to the Claims

The British spellings have been corrected as requested.

The Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112

Reformatting of the claims for U.S. practice obviates this rejection, 135 under 35 U.S.C. § 103, as being

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102 and § 103

All rejections over art employ Brenner, commonly assigned, as the primary reference. The claims have been clarified in order to highlight that the present materials employ, as a first layer on the substrate, a *low refractive index layer* of silica. See page 3, line 35 of the Specification. As correctly noted at page 4 of the Office Action, in item 8, the prior art teaches a first layer of a metal oxide of a *high refractive index*. Thus, the primary reference in all rejections fails to disclose or suggest this aspect of the claims. The secondary references do nothing to remedy this deficiency. Accordingly it is submitted that all rejections over art should be withdrawn.

The claims of the application are submitted to be in condition for allowance. However, if the examiner has any further questions or comments, she is cordially invited to telephone the undersigned at the number below.

No fee, other than the 3-Month Extension of Time being paid herewith, is believed to be due with this Amendment. However, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees associated with this response or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-3402.

Respectfully submitted,

/Harry B. Shubin/

Harry B. Shubin, Reg. No. 32,004
Attorney for Applicant(s)

MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO
& BRANIGAN, P.C.
Arlington Courthouse Plaza 1, Suite 1400
2200 Clarendon Boulevard
Arlington, Virginia 22201
Telephone: (703) 243-6333
Facsimile: (703) 243-6410

Attorney Docket No.: MERCK-3037

Date: August 23, 2007